





Evolution of Aesthetic Orthodontic Appliances

Creating Brighter Futures

Evolution of Aesthetic Orthodontic Appliances



with "braces"

Man has long sought to enhance his appearance. Evidence of orthodontic treatment dates back some 3,000 years. Archaeologists have discovered mummified remains with crude metal bands wrapped around individual teeth with catgut thought to have been used to apply forces. Later, in 400-500 BC, Hippocrates and Aristotle both considered ways

to straighten teeth. The Etruscans were using appliances to maintain space and prevent collapse of the dentition; while in a Roman tomb in Egypt, a researcher found a number of teeth bound with a gold wire - the original ligature wire! At the time of Christ, Aurelius Cornelius Celsus first recorded the treatment of malaligned teeth using finger pressure.⁽¹⁾

Despite all this evidence and experimentation, until the 1700s the most aesthetic - though not effective - appliance remained the finger!

The French surgeon, Pierre Fauchard – the "Founder of Modern Dentistry" - described procedures for aligning the teeth, including: filing them, manipulating them with forceps, and then tying them with thread to a silver or gold "bandeau".⁽¹⁾



Fig. 2. Fauchard's "Bandeau"

A contemporary of Fauchard, Etienne Bourdet, dentist to the King of France, went a step further and recommended the extraction of first premolars to maintain symmetry of the jaws. He also used the first and more aesthetic lingual appliances.⁽²⁾

> By the 1840s materials generally used were cotton or silk ligatures, metallic wedged

arches and wooden wedges. The discovery of vulcanite, when combined with gold wire springs and screws, allowed the use of removable appliances to induce individual tooth movement.⁽¹⁾ By 1937, the discovery of acrylic had allowed translucent acrylic plates to replace black vulcanite.(3)

Edward H. Angle (1855-1930), the "Father of Modern Orthodontics" developed the first widely adopted system for correcting malocclusions using brackets soldered to the labial of metal bands.⁽²⁾ The Edgewise system was born.⁽³⁾

Brackets and Bands



Until the early 20th century, 14-18 carat gold was the principle metal used for constructing orthodontic brackets and bands. However, with the metallurgical developments of World Wars I and II appropriate forms of stainless steel became available.

Fig. 3. Gold & Stainless Steel Banded Teeth

The introduction of stainless steel allowed the development of progressively smaller appliances. The road to smaller appliances had begun and it was significantly accelerated with Buonocore's 1955 direct bonding of resin to enamel⁽⁴⁾ and Newman's use of epoxy resin in 1965

Plastic brackets, initially made from acrylic and later from injection moulded poly-carbonate, were introduced in the 1970s. They promised significantly enhanced aesthetics; unfortunately, problems of staining, odour, time-dependent creep, and breakage soon became apparent. Permanent deformation, or creep, occurs when a material is subjected to a constant load over an extended period. It is particularly important for thermoplastic materials such as polycarbonate and polyurethane resins. Compensation for the lack of strength and rigidity is reinforcement with ceramic or fibreglass fillers and/or metal slots. This has improved their popularity.⁽⁶⁾

to directly bond brackets to the labial surface of teeth.⁽⁵⁾



FLUORIDES IN AUSTRALIA

Last issue, Section A of the Fluoride Guidelines (ADJ 2006; 51(2):195-199) on Community Water Fluoridation was summarised. Section B of the Fluoride Guidelines concerns Self-use Fluoride Products. This includes Fluoridated Toothpaste, Fluoride Supplements and Fluoride Mouthrinses. There are 8 Guidelines arising from these 3 areas:

There are three age-related guidelines for the use of Fluoridated Toothpaste. 1. From the time the teeth erupt to 17 months, children's teeth should be cleaned by an adult but not with toothpaste. 2. From 18 months to five years teeth should be cleaned twice daily using a pea-sized amount of low fluoride toothpaste (400-550ppm) and with adult supervision. 3. For people over six years, teeth should be cleaned at least twice daily using standard fluoride toothpaste (1000ppm). When tooth brushing with fluoridated toothpaste people of all ages should spit out, not swallow and not rinse.

The next two guidelines address variations from the above. 4. Children who do not consume fluoridated water, or have an elevated caries risk may, with dental professional advice, use fluoridated toothpaste more frequently. They may also begin using toothpaste at a younger age or change earlier to standard (1000ppm) toothpaste. 5. For teenagers and adults with an elevated caries risk the use of a high fluoride toothpaste (>1000ppm) should be considered on dental professional advice.

With regard to Fluoride Supplements the guidelines are clear and specific. 6. Fluoride supplements in the form of drops or tablets should not be used.

Two guidelines relate to Fluoride Mouthrinses. 7. Children below six years should not use fluoride mouthrinses as ingestion poses a fluorosis risk. 8. Fluoride mouthrinses for people over 6 years should be used at a time of day when toothpaste is not used and should not be a substitute for brushing with fluoride toothpaste. Mouthrinse should be spat out and not swallowed





Fig. 4. Self-ligating Bracket

steel or elastomeric ligature ties. Stainless steel self-ligating brackets have been shown, in vitro, to have the lowest static and kinetic frictional forces. Polycarbonate self-ligating brackets generate significantly greater static and kinetic frictional forces than stainless steel self-ligating brackets but are comparable to conventional stainless steel brackets.⁽⁷⁾ Other manufacturers have more recently introduced aesthetic ceramic variants of self-ligating brackets including the 3M Unitek's Smartclip[™].⁽⁸⁾



Ceramic brackets were first introduced in the 1980s. There are two basic forms: monocrystalline, which is almost transparent; and, polycrystalline, is tooth which coloured. Offering better aesthetics than either stainless steel or polycarbonate, they also exhibit

Self-ligating brackets were

first popularised in the 1980s, one

of the earliest being the SPEED

system. They are more aesthetic

as they have a much smaller labial

"footprint" than other stainless

steel brackets of the day and no

longer require the use of either

Fig. 5. Ceramic brackets

good resistance to wear and deformation, as well as colour stability. However, they have problems when compared to stainless steel brackets including greater frictional resistance, bracket breakage, iatrogenic enamel damage, and difficulty in debonding the brackets.⁽⁶⁾ These problems are being overcome and the brackets now offer quite an aesthetic alternative to stainless steel.



Lingual brackets

are

arguably the most aesthetic appliance of all as they are placed on the lingual aspect of the teeth. Despite being made of stainless steel they are virtually invisible to the casual observer. Unfortunately, these appliances are generally considered to be more time

Fig. 6. Lingual brackets

consuming to both place and adjust and therefore attract a significant premium in cost over conventional labial brackets and are initially more uncomfortable than labial brackets. Consequently fewer patients are attracted to them.

Archwires

By the 1960s, gold as an archwire had largely been replaced. It gave way to thinner, more resilient stainless steel wires such as Wilcox's Australian wire.⁽³⁾ However, in 1974 Unitek patented its Nitinol (Nickel Titanium Naval Ordnance Laboratory) wire having the lowest modulus of elasticity and most extensive deactivation range of any equivalent cross sectional wire of the time. This allowed the application of light forces over a protracted range. By 1986 "superelastic" alloy wires that undergo stress induced change in their crystal form had been developed. These offered significant advantages over Nitinol.

The range of wires available to orthodontists has been



Fig. 7. Looped Stainless Steel Archwire and Metal Bands (used mainly prior to the introduction of bonded brackets and NiTi archwires)

further extended by the addition of various other elements including Cobalt Chromium, Beta 1994. Titanium and, in Copper. Copper NiTi changes its crystal form at a specific temperature. Most recently, a nickel-free wire – titanium-niobium



Fig. 8. Alignment commencing with NiTi archwires.

- has been introduced.⁽³⁾ With these new wires, especially the superelastic wires, it is no longer necessary to incorporate multiple loops, significantly enhancing aesthetics as well as comfort.

A number of manufacturers now offer NiTi and stainless steel archwires coated with tooth

coloured materials to enhance their aesthetics, especially when combined with ceramic brackets. Unfortunately, these coatings tend to wear away with time; however, further research should see this resolved.



ceramic brackets

The search for improved aesthetics is leading us down the road of fibre reinforced composites. These materials are not yet clinically useful as arch wires; however, they have been incorporated into bis-GMA ribbons and bonded, as strips, to multiple teeth, to

provide retention and also anchorage, reducing the number of conventional brackets required.⁽⁹⁾

Auxiliaries

Brass wire was initially used to ligate the arch wire to the band/ bracket combination. However, by the 1960s the thinner and more aesthetic stainless steel had replaced it as the ligature tie of choice. The advent of polyurethane materials has seen the introduction of aesthetic coloured elastomeric modules to ligate the archwire to the bracket. While offering good aesthetics when initially placed these modules are prone to discolouration and breakdown over time and so must be regularly replaced. They also tend to increase the friction between the bracket and the archwire. Nevertheless, their ease of placement and appeal to younger patients has ensured their general use.

The

the

latest

innovation

orthodontist's aesthetic

armamentarium is the sequential

clear plastic aligner. The principles

of this process were actually developed by Kesling in 1945.⁽¹⁰⁾ However, it did not achieve more

widespread use until Invisalign™ combined the technique with 3D

computer graphics and CAD/

CAM technology to allow phased

movement of multiple teeth

to correct mild to moderate

malocclusions. Since Invisalign™

to

Clear Plastic Aligners



Fig. 10. Clear Plastic Aligner

appeared a number of other companies have released similar products, including an Australian company, ClearSmile™.

Unfortunately, these appliances are not suitable for treating all malocclusions. Those with significant crowding or spacing, and/ or inter-arch discrepancies (such as Class II and III relationships) often cannot be treated properly with these systems alone. Similarly, individuals with very short crowns and younger adolescents where teeth are not fully erupted are generally not suitable. Although some extraction treatments are being carried out they are not normally as suitable as non-extraction cases. Precise alignment and finishing with these systems can be more difficult compared to traditional fixed appliances so that a compromise result may need to be accepted. Further, they are still somewhat visible on the labial surface and over the incisal edges. However, clear aligners are considerably more aesthetic than traditional braces.

2007 - 2



X FUTUR

OU MAY WISH TO SHARE THIS ISSUE OF **BRIGHTER FUTURES** WITH YOUR HYGIENISTS AND OTHER STAFF MEMBERS

Creating Brighter Futures

BRIGHTER FUTURES



Brighter Futures is published by the Australian Society of Orthodontists (NSW Branch) Inc. in conjunction with the Orthodontic Discipline at the University of Sydney.

The newsletter is intended to help keep the dental profession updated about contemporary orthodontics, and also to help foster co-operation within the dental team.

Without the generous support of Henry Schein Halas, 3M Unitek and Colgate, who are an integral part of the dental team, this publication would not be possible.

The statements made and opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and are not official policy of, and do not imply endorsement by, the ASO (NSW Branch) Inc or the Sponsors.

Correspondence is welcome and should be sent to:

Department of Orthodontics University of Sydney Sydney Dental Hospital 2 Chalmers Street, Surry Hills NSW 2010

AUTHOR & EDITORS

Dr Ross Adams PRINCIPAL AUTHOR Prof M Ali Darendeliler Dr Dan Vickers Dr Michael Dineen Dr Vasanth Srinivasan Oral hygiene is also much easier with these appliances and they are more comfortable than traditional fixed appliances. While psychologically they are attractive because they can be removed by the patient when they feel so inclined, the practitioner needs to reinforce the importance of self discipline if the aligners are to be effective. Patients who lack the necessary discipline will find their treatment takes a lot longer, the result is not as good, additional aligners may be required at additional cost, and in some cases fixed appliances are indicated to complete the treatment.

Nevertheless, clear plastic aligners have become an attractive and popular alternative, especially for adult patients.

Conclusion

Metal appliances – steel or gold – as unattractive as they may be, have been the mainstay of the orthodontic armamentarium for centuries. The superior aesthetics of ceramic and polycarbonate brackets when compared to conventional stainless steel are not only well accepted by patients particularly adults - but are positively sought after. Many clinicians, however, have been less willing to accept them due to their perceived unfavourable clinical characteristics. Nevertheless, they are effective and reliable at correcting malocclusions.

The technological revolution, which is all around us, continues apace so that there are now viable aesthetic appliance alternatives that don't necessarily require compromise in either the patient's or practitioner's treatment goals. Modification of the arch wire slot, advances in bracket base design and refined manufacturing processes have tackled the problems of friction, strength and force control. Further development and research will ultimately result in aesthetic brackets that perform clinically in a manner truly comparable to the current "Gold Standard" stainless steel bracket.

References:

I. Asbell MB. A brief history of orthodontics. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop $1990{:}98/2, p176{-}183$

2. Wahl, N. Orthodontics in 3 millennia Chapter 1:Antiquity to the mid-19th Century. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop, Vol 127. No 2 Feb2005 p255-259

3. Kusy, R. Orthodontic Biomaterials: From the Past to the Present. Angle Orthodontist 2002, 72:6, p501-512

4. Buonocore M.G (1955) A simplified method of increasing the adhesion of acrylic filling materials to enamel surfaces. Journal of Dental Research. 63/S.I Abstract No. 556, p232

5. Newman G.V. (1965) Epoxy adhesives for orthodontic attachments. American Journal of Orthodontics. 51:12. p901-912

6. Russell, J. S. Current products and practices. Aesthetic Orthodontic Brackets. Journal of Orthodontics. 2005; 32, p 146-163

7. Cacciafesta V, Sfondrini M.F, Ricciardi A, Scribante A, Klersy C, Auricchio F. Evaluation of friction and stainless steel aesthetic self-ligating brackets in various bracket-archwire combinations. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop 2003: 124:395-402

8. Harradine, N. Current products and practices. Self-ligating brackets: Where are we now?. Journal of Orthodontics. 2003; 30, p 262-273

9. Valiathan A. and Siddhartha D. (2006) Fibre reinforced composite arch-wires in Orthodontics: Function meets aesthetics.Trends Biomaterials.Artif. Organs 20:1p 16-19

10. Asbell MB. A brief history of orthodontics. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop 1990:98/3. p206-213



3M Unitek

Products that make your life easier

3M Solutions for Orthodontics Our mission is to provide solutions to the orthodontic profession by offering the highest quality products and services in the industry. www.3MUnitek.com www.aso.org.au

